

The Closing of the Arab Mind

Mohamed Rabie

Arab societies in general still live in pre-industrial times and derive their cultural values and traditions from times long come and gone, making it hard for them to relate to the world in a realistic and rational way. In contrast, western societies are a product of different times and cultures that cannot stop changing, making it hard for most people to relate to the past in a realistic and passionate way. As a consequence, neither Arabs nor westerners are able to understand the other and communicate with them in a rational manner, causing fear, apprehension and mutual suspicion to spread on both sides of the cultural divide.

Meanwhile, political and sociocultural developments related to the failure of the Arab secular state, the Israeli colonialist policies in Palestine, the Gulf wars, and the Anglo-American invasion and occupation of Iraq, have caused Arabs in general and Muslims in particular to develop deep anti-American feelings. They are feelings that encourage most Arabs and Muslims to close their minds to whatever the United States says, while opening their eyes to whatever America does in their region. In addition, outside pressure to democratize and globalize, and a deep feeling of impotence and humiliation have caused a fundamentalist religious revival. The Arab mind, unable to deal with these confusing developments, was forced to go into hiatus, where it lives in a closed mental environment and moves into directions that undermine its capacity to think freely, relate to the world outside its cocoon, and be creative.

Arab liberals and conservatives have been fighting a war of ideas and perceptions since the mid-1960s, with the conservative forces winning most of the battles, especially among the older generation, the fatally confused young men and women, and the hopelessly poor and desperate masses. If current socioeconomic and sociopolitical conditions and sociocultural trends were to continue unchanged for another generation, the religiously conservative forces are likely to win a decisive victory. And if this were to happen, any further talk about democracy or genuine sociocultural transformation in most Arab countries would become an exercise in

futility. Iran represents the best image of the future that awaits Arabs in general if nothing fundamental and daring is done soon to open Arab societies, free the Arab people, and liberate the Arab mind. Iran, after more than a quarter century of religious rule, has made little progress; by the end of 2005 the number of Iranians living under the poverty line was estimated to have reached 44% of the population, unemployment rates were high and rising, particularly among the young, and an estimated 2 million more people had become drug addicts. In the middle of 2007, the second largest oil producing state in the Gulf was unable to supply enough gas for Iranian motorists to run their cars, forcing it to institute a rationing system that caused widespread demonstrations.

If current sociopolitical and socioeconomic conditions prevailing in the Middle East were to continue for another generation, the Arab mind would most likely be closed; in fact the process of closing the Arab mind has been going on for at least two decades. The mind is being closed through a systematic process of pressure and coercion to avoid facing reality, and perpetuate the status quo. Forces that are taking the lead in closing the Arab mind include the following:

1. A voluntary movement toward religious conservatism led and encouraged by religious forces claiming that only Islam can provide a solution to the current Arab dilemma; and therefore, they call for transforming Arab society along a fading, yet revered image of a past that may have never existed as imagined, or performed as believed;
2. An active movement of cultural particularism led by nationalist forces that claim that Arab unity is the only force capable of liberating the Arab people, uniting their land, and restoring their past glory. It is a movement that bases its rationale on mistaken notions about the past and misconceived ideas about the future; it claims that the Arabic-Islamic culture enjoys superior characteristics that make it most qualified to lead the Arab masses toward political unity, military strength, economic development and scientific progress; and that Arabs have maintained unity of land until the West colonized and divided them. And in so doing, they have ignored currents of change that dominate the world of today, as well as the impact of globalization on all aspects of life. In an attempt to isolate themselves within their cultural dark alleys, they began

to call for the formation of a “culture of resistance” to resist globalization and stop the transformation it causes to indigenous cultures. As a consequence, Arab nationalist who led the process of cultural, political and economic interaction with the rest of the world for almost two centuries, are progressively becoming unable to relate to the world they live in a meaning way. And having appointed themselves guardians of Arab culture, they have moved to condemn everyone who disagrees with them. And while they follow outdated ideas and notions, they use empty slogans to impress their followers and others who feel frustrated by the failure and corruption of Arab regimes. Heightened fear of foreign threat to Arabism, real and perceived outside attempts to undermine Arab culture and identity, and Israeli and American occupation of Arab land have given added legitimacy to slogans of Arabism and cultural particularism;

3. A repressive state system that tends to abuse people’s human rights, tolerate no criticism, oppose genuine democracy, reject political participation by the masses, severely limit freedom of speech and freedom of the press, and disregards the need for open dialogue and association in society. And while the Arab state system and its organs of repression do their best to intimidate intellectuals, the conservative religious forces do not hesitate to use rhetoric and real threats to silence criticism of their ideas and opposition to their slogans, causing freedom of expression to be fatally undermined and intellectuals’ influence to be minimized.
4. A largely traditional educational system that works to reinforce outdated ideas, ways of thinking, conspiracy theories and rigid beliefs; it tends to emphasizes memorization of information at the expense of critical thinking, creativity and innovation.
5. A misguided American policy that supports Israel blindly, ignores Palestinian human and political rights, treats most Arab regimes as surrogate states, and deals with most Arab leaders as agents; while America occupies an Arab country, and fights Arabs and Muslims under the pretext of fighting international terrorism; this is a state and a sate policy that deepens Arab sense of humiliation, helps spread conspiracy theories, encourages radicalism, and undermines Arab forces of moderation and liberalism.

While the fundamentalists and nationalists forces demand that the Arabic-Islamic culture and heritage be revived and respected and accepted without debate, the educational and political systems intentionally and unintentionally work to reinforce such demands through inaction and ignorance. The progressive and liberal forces, meanwhile, are intimidated and denied the opportunity to express their views openly and challenge the others' views on the bases of reason, scientific facts and rationality. The mass media, being the only venue to express one's views and promote new ideas and reach the targeted audience, is a forbidden land as far as pro-democracy, non-traditional Arab intellectuals are concerned.

Deep sociocultural divides in society usually make it difficult to articulate national policies that enjoy near consensus or widespread popular support; they make it even more difficult to launch comprehensive strategies for societal transformation. "In an age of accelerating change, and facing tremendous external and internal challenges, the Arabs will not be able to participate positively in contemporary life and to respond creatively to the challenges of the times without undergoing a total transformation in which the cultural element plays an important, if not a primary part." (Zurayk, "Cultural Change and Transformation of Arab Society," in *The Arab Future: Critical Issues* 10-11)

Democracy, most enlightened people tend to think, could be presented as the right solution to the societal dilemma facing Arab and non-Arab Third World states. While this might be true in an economically advanced and socially developed and culturally homogeneous societies like Taiwan and South Korea, democracy is not a solution to multiethnic, multi-religious and multi-sectarian states, as well as to societies that suffer political and economic and social underdevelopment. When former Iranian president Mohammed Khatami was asked in 1999 about the reasons for lack of economic development in his country, he said, "It is impossible to have economic development in a socially and politically underdeveloped society." Promoters of democracy in Third World states need to be reminded that western democracy was a major byproduct of the socioeconomic and sociocultural transformations, including the Reformation, that swept Europe between the 15th and 18th centuries; no Arab or Islamic society has experienced such a transformation or about to go through a similar one.

Political scientists tell us that for democracy to succeed it has to have certain conditions; noted among them: the existence of a fairly large and conscious and confident middle class, political plurality, regular elections to manage the peaceful transfer of power in society, and a free press. Based on my studies and observations, there is another major condition; a culture of tolerance. But history seems to indicate that all ideologically committed leaders and ethnic groups and racial states do not believe in or practice tolerance. In fact, I argued in “The Making of History” that the greatest success of democracy in the West was not realized by establishing itself as a political system of governance, but by transforming itself into a social value and a cultural tradition. If democracy succeeds a sociocultural value, it will succeed as a political system; and if democracy fails as a sociocultural value, it will certainly fail as a political system.

The only way to win the fight against radical Islam is to pull the rug from underneath its feet by giving younger generations a better education and, in the process, transform their cultures and worldviews. Improving the economic and social conditions of the poor and transforming political systems to be based on social contracts that regulate relations between the rulers and the ruled are two strategic tasks that cry for attention. Radicals throughout history were able to define with clarity what they stand against, but have failed to define with coherence what they stand for; they are better at knowing their enemies than knowing their friends. As a consequence, radical forces have always lacked a program for reform geared toward helping the people they claim to be fighting for. This means that if radicals win the war of ideas and manage to control the state system, they will lead the peoples of the region into a wilderness of chaos and conflict that nurtures more war and enmity than tolerance and love.

No sociopolitical ideology like nationalism, or socioeconomic ideology like communism, or sociocultural ideology like religion can be tolerant of dissent or accept religious and racial equality, and thus respect the democratic principles. It can, however, establish a democracy of the masters like the one practiced today in Iran and Israel. While in Iran only those who uphold the world of God and obey the orders of his earthy representatives are granted the right to govern and given the honor to serve state ideology; and in Israel, only Jews are considered full citizens of the state and have the right to rule over the land’s indigenous people and the states’

occupied subjects. If radical Islam wins its cultural and political fight, it will establish its own justice that recognizes no authority except that of its mysterious God, whose commandments are interpreted and conveyed to believers by unelected self-appointed representatives.

Since Islamic societies lack the experience to deal with most issues of our times, such as political democracy, economic development, freedom of speech, the role of the press in society, and the ethics of modern science and technology, fundamentalism as a sociopolitical movement is doomed to fail in the long run. In reality, attempts to rejuvenate Islam have boiled down to a cloudy program to remold the present and shape the future in the image of a glorious but fading past. However, as a religious, faith based movement, fundamentalism cannot fail; it promises its followers no material or even political gains on earth, and therefore, it cannot be held accountable for the consequences of its actions; the only thing it promises its diehard followers is a place in Heaven in the afterlife. A believer therefore has to wait for the afterlife to find out if his or her religion is able to deliver.

To have a fighting chance of winning the war against extremism and injustice, the secular forces in the Arab world must have the freedom to fully participate in the political process and the sociocultural life of society. They must have the freedom to write and publish, to organize and mobilize, to debate and challenge culturally and religiously conservative views, and to criticize government performances and hold state officials accountable. And their right to do so has to be guaranteed by law and protected by state agencies.

Dr. Rabie is a professor of International Political Economy. He has lived and studied in 4 continents, published 40 books and more than 60 scholarly articles. He has also taught and lectured at more than 60 universities worldwide, and participated in over 70 conferences throughout the world. His writings, interests and associations reflect a deep commitment to peace, freedom, human development, and dialogue among different peoples and cultures.

rabiem@hotmail.com

www.yazour.com